

GEOMETRIC REALIZATIONS OF LUSZTIG'S SYMMETRIES OF SYMMETRIZABLE QUANTUM GROUPS

MINGHUI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. The geometric realizations of Lusztig's symmetries of symmetrizable quantum groups are given in this paper. This construction is a generalization of that in [19].

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Quantum groups and Lusztig's symmetries	3
2.1. Quantum groups	3
2.2. Lusztig's symmetries	4
3. Geometric realization of $\mathbf{f}$	5
3.1. Quivers with automorphisms	5
3.2. Geometric realization of Lusztig's algebra $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ corresponding to Q	5
3.3. Geometric realization of $\mathbf{f}$	7
4. Geometric realizations of subalgebras ${}_i\mathbf{f}$ and ${}^i\mathbf{f}$	10
4.1. The algebra ${}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}$	10
4.2. Geometric realization of ${}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}$	11
4.3. Geometric realization of ${}_i\mathbf{f}$	17
4.4. Geometric realization of ${}^i\mathbf{f}$	21
5. Geometric realization of $T_i : {}_i\mathbf{f} \rightarrow {}^i\mathbf{f}$	22
5.1. Geometric realization	22
5.2. The proof of Proposition 5.3	27
References	29

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbf{U}$ be the quantum group and $\mathbf{f}$ be the Lusztig's algebra associated with a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix. There are two well-defined $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra embeddings ${}^+ : \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$ and ${}^- : \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$ with images $\mathbf{U}^+$ and $\mathbf{U}^-$, where $\mathbf{U}^+$ and $\mathbf{U}^-$ are the positive part and the negative part of $\mathbf{U}$ respectively.

Date: October 6, 2015.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16G20, 17B37.

Key words and phrases. Lusztig's symmetries, Geometric realizations.

When the Cartan matrix is symmetric, Lusztig introduced the geometric realization of $\mathbf{f}$ and the canonical basis of it in [8, 10]. In [13], Lusztig generalized the geometric realization to $\mathbf{f}$ associated with a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix.

Let $\tilde{Q} = (Q, a)$ be a quiver with automorphism corresponding to $\mathbf{f}$, where $Q = (\mathbf{I}, H)$. Let $\mathbf{V}$ be an $\mathbf{I}$ -graded vector space such that $a\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}$ and $\underline{\dim}\mathbf{V} = \nu \in \mathbf{N}\mathbf{I}^a$. Consider the variety $E_{\mathbf{V}}$ consisting of representations of Q with dimension vector ν and a category $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ of some semisimple perverse sheaves ([1, 2, 6]) on $E_{\mathbf{V}}$.

The isomorphism $a : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ induces a functor $a^* : \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$. Lusztig defined a new category $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}$ consisting of objects $(\mathcal{L}, \phi)$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is an object in $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $\phi : a^*\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is an isomorphism. Lusztig considered a submodule $\mathbf{k}_{\nu}$ of the Grothendieck group $K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}})$. Considering all dimension vectors, he proved that $\mathbf{k} = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbf{N}\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{k}_{\nu}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{f}$.

Lusztig also introduced some symmetries T_i on $\mathbf{U}$ for all $i \in I$ in [7, 9]. Since $T_i(\mathbf{U}^+)$ is not contained in $\mathbf{U}^+$, Lusztig introduced two subalgebras ${}_i\mathbf{f}$ and ${}^i\mathbf{f}$ of $\mathbf{f}$ for any $i \in I$, where ${}_i\mathbf{f} = \{x \in \mathbf{f} \mid T_i(x^+) \in \mathbf{U}^+\}$ and ${}^i\mathbf{f} = \{x \in \mathbf{f} \mid T_i^{-1}(x^+) \in \mathbf{U}^+\}$. Let $T_i : {}_i\mathbf{f} \rightarrow {}^i\mathbf{f}$ be the unique map satisfying $T_i(x^+) = T_i(x)^+$. For any $i \in I$, ${}_i\mathbf{f}$ and ${}^i\mathbf{f}$ are the subalgebras of $\mathbf{f}$ generated by $f(i, j; m)$ and $f'(i, j; m)$ for all $i \neq j \in I$ and $-a_{ij} \geq m \in \mathbb{N}$ respectively.

Associated to a finite dimensional hereditary algebra, Ringel introduced the Hall algebra and its composition subalgebra in [14], which gives a realization of $\mathbf{U}^+$. Via the Hall algebra approach, one can apply BGP-reflection functors to quantum groups to give precise constructions of Lusztig's symmetries ([15, 12, 16, 17, 3, 18]).

Let $i \in I$ be a sink (resp. source) of Q . Similarly to the geometric realization of $\mathbf{f}$, consider a subvariety ${}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}$ (resp. ${}^iE_{\mathbf{V}}$) of $E_{\mathbf{V}}$ and a category ${}_i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ (resp. ${}^i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$) of some semisimple perverse sheaves on ${}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}$ (resp. ${}^iE_{\mathbf{V}}$). In [19], it was showed that $\bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbf{N}\mathbf{I}} K({}_i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})$ (resp. $\bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbf{N}\mathbf{I}} K({}^i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})$) realizes ${}_i\mathbf{f}$ (resp. ${}^i\mathbf{f}$).

Let $i \in I$ be a sink of Q and $Q' = \sigma_i Q$ be the quiver by reversing the directions of all arrows in Q containing i . Hence, i is a source of Q' . Consider two I -graded vector spaces $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{V}'$ such that $\underline{\dim}\mathbf{V}' = s_i(\underline{\dim}\mathbf{V})$. In the case of finite type, Kato introduced an equivalence $\tilde{\omega}_i : {}_i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}, Q} \rightarrow {}^i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}', Q'}$ and studied the properties of this equivalence in [4, 5]. In [19], his construction was generalized to all cases. It was proved that the map induced by $\tilde{\omega}_i$ realizes the Lusztig's symmetry $T_i : {}_i\mathbf{f} \rightarrow {}^i\mathbf{f}$ by using the relations between $\tilde{\omega}_i$ and the Hall algebra approach to T_i in [12].

In [11], Lusztig showed that Lusztig's symmetries and canonical bases are compatible. The main result in [19] gives a geometric interpretation of Lusztig's result in [11].

In this paper, we shall generalize the construction in [19] and give geometric realizations of Lusztig's symmetries of symmetrizable quantum groups.

Let $\tilde{Q} = (Q, a)$ be a quiver with automorphism. Fix $i \in I = \mathbf{I}^a$ and assume that $\mathbf{i}$ is a sink (resp. source) for any $\mathbf{i} \in i$. Similarly to the category $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}$, we can define ${}_i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}$ (resp. ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}$). Consider a submodule ${}_i\mathbf{k}_{\nu}$ (resp. ${}^i\mathbf{k}_{\nu}$) of $K({}_i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}})$ (resp. $K({}^i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}})$).

We verify that $\bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbf{NI}} \mathbf{k}_\nu$ (resp. $\bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbf{NI}} {}^i \mathbf{k}_\nu$) realizes ${}_i \mathbf{f}$ (resp. ${}^i \mathbf{f}$) by using the result in [19] and the relation between $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$.

Let $i \in I = \mathbf{I}^a$ such that $\mathbf{i}$ is a sink, for any $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}$. Let $Q' = \sigma_i Q$ be the quiver by reversing the directions of all arrows in Q containing $\mathbf{i} \in i$. So for any $\mathbf{i} \in i$, $\mathbf{i}$ is a source of Q' .

Consider two $\mathbf{I}$ -graded vector spaces $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{V}'$ such that $a\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}$, $a\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{V}'$ and $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}' = s_i(\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V})$. In this paper, it is proved that the equivalence $\tilde{\omega}_i : {}_i \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}, Q} \rightarrow {}^i \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}', Q'}$ is compatible with a^* . Hence we get a functor $\tilde{\omega}_i : {}_i \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}, Q} \rightarrow {}^i \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}', Q'}$ and a map $\tilde{\omega}_i : {}_i \mathbf{k} \rightarrow {}^i \mathbf{k}$. We also prove $\tilde{\omega}_i : {}_i \mathbf{k} \rightarrow {}^i \mathbf{k}$ is an isomorphism of algebras.

Assume that $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V} = m\gamma_i + \gamma_j$, where $\gamma_i = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in i} \mathbf{i}$ and $\gamma_j = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in j} \mathbf{i}$. We construct a series of distinguished triangles in $\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}, Q}}(E_{\mathbf{V}, Q})$, which represent the constant sheaf $\mathbf{1}_{E_{\mathbf{V}, Q}}$ in terms of some semisimple perverse sheaves $I_p \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}, Q}}(E_{\mathbf{V}, Q})$ geometrically. Applying to the Grothendieck group, $\mathbf{1}_{E_{\mathbf{V}, Q}}$ corresponds to $f(i, j; m)$. Assume that $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}' = s_i(\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}) = m'\gamma_i + \gamma_j$. Applying to the Grothendieck group, $\mathbf{1}_{E_{\mathbf{V}', Q'}}$ corresponds to $f'(i, j; m')$ similarly. The properties of BGP-reflection functors imply $\tilde{\omega}_i(v^{-mN} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\mathbf{V}, Q}}) = v^{-m'N} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\mathbf{V}', Q'}}$. Since ${}_i \mathbf{f}$ (resp. ${}^i \mathbf{f}$) is generated by $f(i, j; m)$ (resp. $f'(i, j; m)$), we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_i \mathbf{k} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\omega}_i} & {}^i \mathbf{k} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ {}_i \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{T_i} & {}^i \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

That is, $\tilde{\omega}_i$ gives a geometric realization of Lusztig's symmetry T_i for any $i \in I$.

2. QUANTUM GROUPS AND LUSZTIG'S SYMMETRIES

2.1. Quantum groups. Fix a finite index set I with $|I| = n$. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i, j \in I}$ be a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix and $D = \text{diag}(\varepsilon_i \mid i \in I)$ be a diagonal matrix such that DA is symmetric. Let $(A, \Pi, \Pi^\vee, P, P^\vee)$ be a Cartan datum associated with A , where

- (1) $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$ is the set of simple roots;
- (2) $\Pi^\vee = \{h_i \mid i \in I\}$ is the set of simple coroots;
- (3) P is the weight lattice;
- (4) $P^\vee$ is the dual weight lattice.

Let $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P^\vee$ and there exist a symmetric bilinear form $(-, -)$ on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = \varepsilon_i a_{ij}$ for any $i, j \in I$ and $\lambda(h_i) = 2 \frac{(\alpha_i, \lambda)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}$ for any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $i \in I$.

Fix an indeterminate v . Let $v_i = v^{\varepsilon_i}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$[n]_{v_i} = \frac{v_i^n - v_i^{-n}}{v_i - v_i^{-1}} \in \mathbb{Q}(v).$$

Let $[0]_{v_i}! = 1$ and $[n]_{v_i}! = [n]_{v_i} [n-1]_{v_i} \cdots [1]_{v_i}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Let $\mathbf{U}$ be the quantum group corresponding to $(A, \Pi, \Pi^\vee, P, P^\vee)$ generated by the elements $E_i, F_i (i \in I)$ and $K_\mu (\mu \in P^\vee)$. Let $\mathbf{U}^+$ (resp. $\mathbf{U}^-$) be the positive (resp. negative) part of $\mathbf{U}$ generated by E_i (resp. F_i) for all $i \in I$, and $\mathbf{U}^0$ be the Cartan part of $\mathbf{U}$ generated by K_μ for all $\mu \in P^\vee$. The quantum group $\mathbf{U}$ has the following triangular decomposition

$$\mathbf{U} \cong \mathbf{U}^- \otimes \mathbf{U}^0 \otimes \mathbf{U}^+.$$

Let $\mathbf{f}$ be the associative algebra defined by Lusztig in [13]. The algebra $\mathbf{f}$ is generated by $\theta_i (i \in I)$ subject to the quantum Serre relations. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ and $\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the integral form of $\mathbf{f}$. There are two well-defined $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra homomorphisms $^+ : \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$ and $^- : \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$ satisfying $E_i = \theta_i^+$ and $F_i = \theta_i^-$ for all $i \in I$. The images of $^+$ and $^-$ are $\mathbf{U}^+$ and $\mathbf{U}^-$ respectively.

2.2. Lusztig's symmetries. Corresponding to $i \in I$, Lusztig introduced the Lusztig's symmetry $T_i : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$ ([7, 9, 13]). The formulas of T_i on the generators are:

$$\begin{aligned} T_i(E_i) &= -F_i \tilde{K}_i, \quad T_i(F_i) = -\tilde{K}_{-i} E_i; \\ T_i(E_j) &= \sum_{r+s=-a_{ij}} (-1)^r v_i^{-r} E_i^{(s)} E_j E_i^{(r)} \quad \text{for any } i \neq j \in I; \\ T_i(F_j) &= \sum_{r+s=-a_{ij}} (-1)^r v_i^r F_i^{(r)} F_j F_i^{(s)} \quad \text{for any } i \neq j \in I; \\ T_i(K_\mu) &= K_{\mu - \alpha_i(\mu)h_i} \quad \text{for any } \mu \in P^\vee, \end{aligned}$$

where $E_i^{(n)} = E_i^n / [n]_{v_i}!$, $F_i^{(n)} = F_i^n / [n]_{v_i}!$ and $\tilde{K}_{\pm i} = K_{\pm \varepsilon_i h_i}$.

Let ${}^i\mathbf{f} = \{x \in \mathbf{f} \mid T_i(x^+) \in \mathbf{U}^+\}$ and ${}^i\mathbf{f} = \{x \in \mathbf{f} \mid T_i^{-1}(x^+) \in \mathbf{U}^+\}$. Lusztig symmetry T_i induces a unique map $T_i : {}^i\mathbf{f} \rightarrow {}^i\mathbf{f}$ such that $T_i(x^+) = T_i(x)^+$.

For any $i \neq j \in I$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$f(i, j; m) = \sum_{r+s=m} (-1)^r v_i^{-r(-a_{ij}-m+1)} \theta_i^{(r)} \theta_j \theta_i^{(s)} \in \mathbf{f},$$

and

$$f'(i, j; m) = \sum_{r+s=m} (-1)^r v_i^{-r(-a_{ij}-m+1)} \theta_i^{(s)} \theta_j \theta_i^{(r)} \in \mathbf{f},$$

where $\theta_i^{(n)} = \theta_i^n / [n]_{v_i}!$.

Proposition 2.1 ([13]). *For any $i \in I$,*

- (1) ${}^i\mathbf{f}$ (resp. ${}^i\mathbf{f}$) is the subalgebra of $\mathbf{f}$ generated by $f(i, j; m)$ (resp. $f'(i, j; m)$) for all $i \neq j \in I$ and $-a_{ij} \geq m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (2) $T_i : {}^i\mathbf{f} \rightarrow {}^i\mathbf{f}$ is an isomorphism of algebras and

$$T_i(f(i, j; m)) = f'(i, j; -a_{ij} - m)$$

for all $i \neq j \in I$ and $-a_{ij} \geq m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lusztig also showed that $\mathbf{f}$ has the following direct sum decompositions

$$\mathbf{f} = {}_i\mathbf{f} \bigoplus \theta_i \mathbf{f} = {}^i\mathbf{f} \bigoplus \mathbf{f} \theta_i.$$

Denote by ${}_i\pi : \mathbf{f} \rightarrow {}_i\mathbf{f}$ and ${}^i\pi : \mathbf{f} \rightarrow {}^i\mathbf{f}$ the natural projections.

3. GEOMETRIC REALIZATION OF $\mathbf{f}$

In this section, we shall review the geometric realization of $\mathbf{f}$ given by Lusztig in [8, 10, 13, 12].

3.1. Quivers with automorphisms. Let $Q = (\mathbf{I}, H, s, t)$ be a quiver, where $\mathbf{I}$ is the set of vertices, H is the set of arrows, and $s, t : H \rightarrow \mathbf{I}$ are two maps such that an arrow $\rho \in H$ starts at $s(\rho)$ and terminates at $t(\rho)$.

An admissible automorphism a of Q consists of permutations $a : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{I}$ and $a : H \rightarrow H$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) for any $h \in H$, $s(a(h)) = a(s(h))$ and $t(a(h)) = a(t(h))$;
- (2) there are no arrows between two vertices in the same a -orbit.

From now on, $\tilde{Q} = (Q, a)$ is called a quiver with automorphism. Assume that $a^n = \text{id}$ for a given positive integer $\mathbf{n}$.

Let $I = \mathbf{I}^a$. For any $i, j \in I$, let

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} -|\{\mathbf{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{j} \mid \mathbf{i} \in i, \mathbf{j} \in j\}| - |\{\mathbf{j} \rightarrow \mathbf{i} \mid \mathbf{i} \in i, \mathbf{j} \in j\}|, & \text{if } i \neq j; \\ 2|i|, & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

The matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i, j \in I}$ is a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix.

Proposition 3.1 ([13]). *For any symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix A , there exists a quiver with automorphism $\tilde{Q}$, such that the generalized Cartan matrix corresponding to $\tilde{Q}$ is A .*

3.2. Geometric realization of Lusztig's algebra $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ corresponding to Q . Let p be a prime and $q = p^e$. Denote by $\mathbb{F}_q$ the finite field with q elements and $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$.

Let $Q = (\mathbf{I}, H, s, t)$ be a quiver. Consider the category $\mathcal{C}'$, whose objects are finite dimensional $\mathbf{I}$ -graded $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces $\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} V_{\mathbf{i}}$, and morphisms are graded linear maps. For any $\nu \in \mathbf{NI}$, let $\mathcal{C}'_{\nu}$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{C}'$ consisting of the objects $\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} V_{\mathbf{i}}$ such that the dimension vector $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V} = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} (\dim_{\mathbb{K}} V_{\mathbf{i}}) \mathbf{i} = \nu$.

For any $\mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}'$, define

$$E_{\mathbf{V}} = \bigoplus_{\rho \in H} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V_{s(\rho)}, V_{t(\rho)}).$$

The algebraic group $G_{\mathbf{V}} = \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} GL_{\mathbb{K}}(V_{\mathbf{i}})$ acts on $E_{\mathbf{V}}$ naturally.

For any $\nu = \nu_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{NI}$, ν is called discrete if there is no $h \in H$ such that $\{s(h), t(h)\} \in \{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I} \mid \nu_{\mathbf{i}} \neq 0\}$. Fix a nonzero element $\nu \in \mathbf{NI}$. Let

$$Y_{\nu} = \{\mathbf{y} = (\nu^1, \nu^2, \dots, \nu^k) \mid \nu^l \in \mathbf{NI} \text{ is discrete and } \sum_{l=1}^k \nu^l = \nu\}.$$

Fix $\mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}'_\nu$. For any element $\mathbf{y} \in Y_\nu$, a flag of type $\mathbf{y}$ in $\mathbf{V}$ is a sequence

$$\phi = (\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^k \supset \mathbf{V}^{k-1} \supset \dots \supset \mathbf{V}^0 = 0)$$

where $\mathbf{V}^l \in \mathcal{C}'$ such that $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}^l / \mathbf{V}^{l-1} = \nu^l$. Let $F_{\mathbf{y}}$ be the variety of all flags of type $\mathbf{y}$ in $\mathbf{V}$. For any $x \in E_{\mathbf{V}}$, a flag ϕ is called x -stable if $x_\rho(V_{s(\rho)}^l) \subset V_{t(\rho)}^l$ for all l and all $\rho \in H$. Let

$$\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}} = \{(x, \phi) \in E_{\mathbf{V}} \times F_{\mathbf{y}} \mid \phi \text{ is } x\text{-stable}\}$$

and $\pi_{\mathbf{y}} : \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{V}}$ be the projection to $E_{\mathbf{V}}$.

Let $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ be the l -adic field and $\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}})$ be the bounded $G_{\mathbf{V}}$ -equivariant derived category of complexes of l -adic sheaves on $E_{\mathbf{V}}$. For each $\mathbf{y} \in Y_\nu$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}} = \pi_{\mathbf{y}!} \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}} [d_{\mathbf{y}}] (\frac{d_{\mathbf{y}}}{2}) \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}})$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf, where $d_{\mathbf{y}} = \dim \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$ be the set of isomorphism classes of simple perverse sheaves $\mathcal{L}$ on $E_{\mathbf{V}}$ such that $\mathcal{L}[r](\frac{r}{2})$ appears as a direct summand of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}$ for some $\mathbf{y} \in Y_\nu$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}})$ consisting of all complexes which are isomorphic to finite direct sums of complexes in the set $\{\mathcal{L}[r](\frac{r}{2}) \mid \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}, r \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Let $K(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})$ be the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$. Define

$$v^\pm[\mathcal{L}] = [\mathcal{L}[\pm 1](\pm \frac{1}{2})].$$

Then, $K(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})$ is a free $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Define

$$K(\mathcal{Q}) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}\mathbf{I}} K(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}).$$

For any $\nu, \nu', \nu'' \in \mathbb{N}\mathbf{I}$ such that $\nu = \nu' + \nu''$, fix $\mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}'_\nu$, $\mathbf{V}' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\nu'}$, $\mathbf{V}'' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\nu''}$. Consider the following diagram

$$E_{\mathbf{V}'} \times E_{\mathbf{V}''} \xleftarrow{p_1} E' \xrightarrow{p_2} E'' \xrightarrow{p_3} E_{\mathbf{V}}$$

where

- (1) $E'' = \{(x, \mathbf{W})\}$, where $x \in E_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $\mathbf{W} \in \mathcal{C}'_\nu$ is an x -stable subspace of $\mathbf{V}$;
- (2) $E' = \{(x, \mathbf{W}, R'', R')\}$, where $(x, \mathbf{W}) \in E''$, $R'' : \mathbf{V}'' \simeq \mathbf{W}$ and $R' : \mathbf{V}' \simeq \mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W}$;
- (3) $p_1(x, \mathbf{W}, R'', R') = (x', x'')$, where x' and x'' are induced through the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{V}'_{s(\rho)} & \xrightarrow{x'_\rho} & \mathbf{V}'_{t(\rho)} \\ \downarrow R'_{s(\rho)} & & \downarrow R'_{t(\rho)} \\ (\mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W})_{s(\rho)} & \xrightarrow{x_\rho} & (\mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W})_{t(\rho)} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{V}_{s(\rho)}'' & \xrightarrow{x_\rho''} & \mathbf{V}_{t(\rho)}'' \\
 \downarrow R_{s(\rho)}'' & & \downarrow R_{t(\rho)}'' \\
 \mathbf{W}_{s(\rho)} & \xrightarrow{x_\rho} & \mathbf{W}_{t(\rho)}
 \end{array}$$

$$(4) \quad p_2(x, \mathbf{W}, R'', R') = (x, \mathbf{W});$$

$$(5) \quad p_3(x, \mathbf{W}) = x.$$

For any two complexes $\mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}'}}(E_{\mathbf{V}'})$ and $\mathcal{L}'' \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}''}}(E_{\mathbf{V}''})$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}''$ is defined as follows.

Let $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}' \otimes \mathcal{L}''$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 = p_1^* \mathcal{L}_1$. Since p_1 is smooth with connected fibres and p_2 is a $G_{\mathbf{V}'} \times G_{\mathbf{V}''}$ -principal bundle, there exists a complex $\mathcal{L}_3$ on E' such that $p_2^*(\mathcal{L}_3) = \mathcal{L}_2$. The complex $\mathcal{L}$ is defined as $(p_3)_! \mathcal{L}_3$.

Lemma 3.2 ([10, 13]). *For any $\mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}'}$ and $\mathcal{L}'' \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}''}$, $\mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}'' \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$.*

Hence, we get a functor

$$* : \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}'} \times \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}''} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}.$$

This functor induces an associative $\mathcal{A}$ -bilinear multiplication

$$\begin{aligned}
 \otimes : K(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}'}) \times K(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}''}) &\rightarrow K(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}) \\
 ([\mathcal{L}'], [\mathcal{L}'']) &\mapsto [\mathcal{L}'] \otimes [\mathcal{L}''] = [\mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}'']
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}'' = (\mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}'')[m_{\nu'\nu''}](\frac{m_{\nu'\nu''}}{2})$ and $m_{\nu'\nu''} = \sum_{\rho \in H} \nu'_{s(\rho)} \nu''_{t(\rho)} - \sum_{i \in I} \nu'_i \nu''_i$. Then $K(\mathcal{Q})$ becomes an associative $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra and the set $\{[\mathcal{L}] \mid \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}\}$ is a basis of $K(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})$.

Let $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ be the Lusztig's algebra corresponding to Q . For any $\mathbf{y} = (a_1 \mathbf{i}_1, a_2 \mathbf{i}_2, \dots, a_k \mathbf{i}_k) \in Y_\nu$, let $\theta_{\mathbf{y}} = \theta_{\mathbf{i}_1}^{(a_1)} \theta_{\mathbf{i}_2}^{(a_2)} \dots \theta_{\mathbf{i}_k}^{(a_k)}$.

Theorem 3.3 ([10, 13]). *There is a unique $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra isomorphism*

$$\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} : K(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

such that $\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}}([\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}]) = \theta_{\mathbf{y}}$ for all $\mathbf{y} = (a_1 \mathbf{i}_1, a_2 \mathbf{i}_2, \dots, a_k \mathbf{i}_k) \in Y_\nu$.

Let $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_\nu = \{[\mathcal{L}] \mid \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}\}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{B}} = \bigsqcup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}\mathbf{I}} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_\nu$, which is an $\mathcal{A}$ -basis of $K(\mathcal{Q})$ and is called the canonical basis by Lusztig.

3.3. Geometric realization of $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$.

3.3.1. Let $\tilde{Q} = (Q, a)$ be a quiver with automorphism, where $Q = (\mathbf{I}, H, s, t)$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be the category of $\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} V_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathcal{C}'$ with a linear map $a : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) for any $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}$, $a(V_{\mathbf{i}}) = V_{a(\mathbf{i})}$;
- (2) for any $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^k(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{i}$, $a^k|_{V_{\mathbf{i}}} = \text{id}_{V_{\mathbf{i}}}$.

The morphisms in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ are the graded linear maps $f = (f_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}}$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{\mathbf{i}} & \xrightarrow{a} & V_{a(\mathbf{i})} \\ \downarrow f_{\mathbf{i}} & & \downarrow f_{a(\mathbf{i})} \\ V_{\mathbf{i}} & \xrightarrow{a} & V_{a(\mathbf{i})} \end{array}$$

Let $\mathbf{NI}^a = \{\nu \in \mathbf{NI} \mid \nu_{\mathbf{i}} = \nu_{a(\mathbf{i})}\}$. There is a bijection between $\mathbf{NI}$ and $\mathbf{NI}^a$ sending i to $\gamma_i = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in i} \mathbf{i}$. From now on, $\mathbf{NI}^a$ is identified with $\mathbf{NI}$. For any $\nu \in \mathbf{NI}^a$, let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\nu}$ be the subcategory of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ consisting of the objects $\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}} V_{\mathbf{i}}$ such that the dimension vector $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V} = \nu$.

For any $(\mathbf{V}, a) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, $E_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $G_{\mathbf{V}}$ are defined in Section 3.2. Let $a : G_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{V}}$ be the automorphism defined by

$$a(g)(v) = a(g(a^{-1}(v)))$$

for any $g \in G_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $v \in \mathbf{V}$. Denote by $a : E_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{V}}$ the automorphism such that the following diagram commutes for any $h \in H$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{V}_{s(h)} & \xrightarrow{x_h} & \mathbf{V}_{t(h)} \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow a \\ \mathbf{V}_{a(s(h))} & \xrightarrow{a(x)_{a(h)}} & \mathbf{V}_{a(t(h))} \end{array}$$

Since $a(gx) = a(g)a(x)$, we have a functor $a^* : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}})$.

Lemma 3.4 ([13]). *It holds that $a^*(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}) = \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $a^*(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$.*

Lusztig introduced the following categories $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathbf{V}}$ in [13]. The objects in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}$ are pairs $(\mathcal{L}, \phi)$, where $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $\phi : a^* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is an isomorphism such that

$$\mathcal{L} = a^{*\mathbf{n}} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow a^{*(\mathbf{n}-1)} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a^* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$$

is the identity map of $\mathcal{L}$. A morphism in $\text{Hom}_{\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}}((\mathcal{L}, \phi), (\mathcal{L}', \phi'))$ is a morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}')$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a^* \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{L} \\ \downarrow a^* f & & \downarrow f \\ a^* \mathcal{L}' & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & \mathcal{L}' \end{array}$$

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the subring of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ consisting of all $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combinations of $\mathbf{n}$ -th roots of 1. The Grothendieck groups $K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}})$ and $K(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathbf{V}})$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathbf{V}}$ were introduced by Lusztig in [13], which are $\mathcal{O}$ -modules. Let $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}[v, v^{-1}]$. Since a^* commutes with the shift functor and the Tate twist, we can define

$$v^{\pm}[\mathcal{L}, \phi] = [\mathcal{L}[\pm 1](\pm \frac{1}{2}), \phi[\pm 1](\pm \frac{1}{2})].$$

Then $K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}})$ has a natural $\mathcal{O}'$ -module structure. Note that $K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}) = \mathcal{O}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathbf{V}})$. Define

$$K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}\mathbf{I}} K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}).$$

For $\nu, \nu', \nu'' \in \mathbb{N}\mathbf{I} = \mathbb{N}\mathbf{I}^a$ such that $\nu = \nu' + \nu''$, fix $\mathbf{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\nu}$, $\mathbf{V}' \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\nu'}$, $\mathbf{V}'' \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\nu''}$. Lusztig proved the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5 ([13]). *The induction functor*

$$* : \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}'} \times \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}''} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$$

satisfies that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}'} \times \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}''} & \xrightarrow{*} & \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}} \\ \downarrow a^* \times a^* & & \downarrow a^* \\ \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}'} \times \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}''} & \xrightarrow{*} & \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}} \end{array}$$

For any $(\mathcal{L}', \phi') \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}'}$ and $(\mathcal{L}'', \phi'') \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}''}$, Lemma 3.5 implies that

$$a^*(\mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}'') = a^*\mathcal{L}' * a^*\mathcal{L}''.$$

Hence there is a functor

$$\begin{aligned} * : \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}'} \times \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}''} &\rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}} \\ ((\mathcal{L}', \phi'), (\mathcal{L}'', \phi'')) &\mapsto (\mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}'', \phi) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi = \phi' * \phi'' : \mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}'' \rightarrow a^*\mathcal{L}' * a^*\mathcal{L}'' = a^*(\mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}'').$$

This functor induces an associative $\mathcal{O}'$ -bilinear multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes : K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}'} \times \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}''}) &\rightarrow K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}) \\ ([\mathcal{L}', \phi'], [\mathcal{L}'', \phi'']) &\mapsto [\mathcal{L}, \phi] \end{aligned}$$

where $(\mathcal{L}, \phi) = (\mathcal{L}', \phi') \otimes (\mathcal{L}'', \phi'') = ((\mathcal{L}', \phi') * (\mathcal{L}'', \phi''))[m_{\nu'\nu''}](\frac{m_{\nu'\nu''}}{2})$. Then $K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}})$ becomes an associative $\mathcal{O}'$ -algebra.

3.3.2. Fix a nonzero element $\nu \in \mathbb{N}\mathbf{I}^a$. Let

$$Y_{\nu}^a = \{\mathbf{y} = (\nu^1, \nu^2, \dots, \nu^k) \in Y_{\nu} \mid \nu^l \in \mathbb{N}\mathbf{I}^a\}.$$

Fix $\mathbf{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\nu}$. For any element $\mathbf{y} \in Y_{\nu}^a$, the automorphism $a : F_{\mathbf{y}} \rightarrow F_{\mathbf{y}}$ is defined as

$$a(\phi) = (\mathbf{V} = a(\mathbf{V}^k) \supset a(\mathbf{V}^{k-1}) \supset \dots \supset a(\mathbf{V}^0) = 0)$$

for any

$$\phi = (\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^k \supset \mathbf{V}^{k-1} \supset \dots \supset \mathbf{V}^0 = 0) \in F_{\mathbf{y}}.$$

There also exists an automorphism $a : \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}$, defined as $a((x, \phi)) = (a(x), a(\phi))$ for any $(x, \phi) \in \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}$.

Since $a^* \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}} \cong \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}}$, there exists an isomorphism

$$\phi_0 : a^* \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}} = a^* \pi_{\mathbf{y}!} \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}} [d_{\mathbf{y}}] \left(\frac{d_{\mathbf{y}}}{2} \right) = \pi_{\mathbf{y}!} a^* \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}} [d_{\mathbf{y}}] \left(\frac{d_{\mathbf{y}}}{2} \right) \cong \pi_{\mathbf{y}!} \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}} [d_{\mathbf{y}}] \left(\frac{d_{\mathbf{y}}}{2} \right) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}.$$

Hence $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}, \phi_0)$ is an object in $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}$.

Let $\mathbf{k}_{\nu}$ be the $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule of $K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}})$ spanned by $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}, \phi_0)$ for all $\mathbf{y} \in Y_{\nu}^a$. Let $\mathbf{k} = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbf{N}\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{k}_{\nu}$. Lusztig proved that $\mathbf{k}$ is also a subalgebra of $K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}})$.

Let $i \in I$ and $\gamma_i = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in i} \mathbf{i}$. Define $\mathbf{1}_i = [\mathbf{1}, \text{id}] \in K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}})$, where $\mathbf{V} \in \tilde{C}_{\gamma_i}$. Let $\mathbf{f}$ be the Lusztig's algebra corresponding to (Q, a) .

Theorem 3.6 ([13]). *There is a unique $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra isomorphism*

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

such that $\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{1}_i) = \theta_i$ for all $i \in I$.

On the canonical basis of $\mathbf{f}$, Lusztig gave the following theorem.

Theorem 3.7 ([13]). (1) *For any $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$ such that $a^* B \cong B$, there exists an isomorphism $\phi : a^* B \cong B$ such that $(B, \phi) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $(D(B), D(\phi)^{-1})$ is isomorphic to (B, ϕ) as objects of $\tilde{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$, where D is the Verdier duality. Moreover, ϕ is unique, if $\mathbf{n}$ is odd, and unique up to multiplication by ± 1 , if $\mathbf{n}$ is even.*

(2) $\mathbf{k}_{\nu}$ is generated by $[B, \phi]$ in (1) as an $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule of $K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}})$.

If $\mathbf{n}$ is odd, let $\mathcal{B}_{\nu}$ be the subset of $\mathbf{k}_{\nu}$ consisting of all elements $[B, \phi]$ in Theorem 3.7. If $\mathbf{n}$ is even, let $\mathcal{B}_{\nu}$ be the subset of $\mathbf{k}_{\nu}$ consisting of all elements $\pm[B, \phi]$ in Theorem 3.7. The set $\mathcal{B}_{\nu}$ is called a signed basis of $\mathbf{k}_{\nu}$ by Lusztig. Lusztig gave a non-geometric way to choose a subset $\mathbf{B}_{\nu}$ of $\mathcal{B}_{\nu}$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{\nu} = \mathbf{B}_{\nu} \cup -\mathbf{B}_{\nu}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\nu}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$ -basis of $\mathbf{k}_{\nu}$ ([13]). The set $\mathbf{B} = \sqcup_{\nu \in \mathbf{N}\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{B}_{\nu}$ is called the canonical basis of $\mathbf{k}$.

At last, let us recall the relation between $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ and $\mathbf{f}$. Define $\tilde{\delta} : \mathbf{k} \rightarrow K(\mathcal{Q})$ by $\tilde{\delta}([B, \phi]) = B$ for any $[B, \phi] \in \mathbf{B}$. It is clear that this is an injection and induces an embedding $\delta : \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{f}}$. Note that $\delta(\mathbf{B}) = \hat{\mathbf{B}}^a$.

4. GEOMETRIC REALIZATIONS OF SUBALGEBRAS ${}_i \mathbf{f}$ AND ${}_i \hat{\mathbf{f}}$

4.1. The algebra ${}_i \hat{\mathbf{f}}$. Let $Q = (\mathbf{I}, H, s, t)$ be a quiver and $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ be the corresponding Lusztig's algebra. Let ${}_i \hat{\mathbf{f}}$ be the subalgebra of $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ generated by $f(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}; m)$ for all $\mathbf{i} \neq \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{I}$ and integer m . Let $\mathbf{i}$ be a subset of $\mathbf{I}$ and define

$${}_i \hat{\mathbf{f}} = \bigcap_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} \hat{\mathbf{f}},$$

which is also a subalgebra of $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$.

For any $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{I}$, there exists a unique linear map ${}_i r : \hat{\mathbf{f}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{f}}$ such that ${}_i r(\mathbf{1}) = 0$, ${}_i r(\theta_{\mathbf{j}}) = \delta_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}$ for all $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{I}$ and ${}_i r(xy) = {}_i r(x)y + v^{(\nu, \alpha_{\mathbf{i}})} x {}_i r(y)$ for all homogeneous $x \in \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu}$ and y . Denote by $(-, -)$ the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ introduced by Lusztig.

Proposition 4.1 ([13]). *It holds that ${}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}} = \{x \in \hat{\mathbf{f}} \mid {}_{\mathbf{i}}r(x) = 0\}$.*

As a corollary of Proposition 4.1, we have

Corollary 4.2. *It holds that ${}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}} = \{x \in \hat{\mathbf{f}} \mid {}_{\mathbf{i}}r(x) = 0 \text{ for any } \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}\}$.*

□

Proposition 4.3. *The algebra $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ has the following decomposition*

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}} = {}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}} \oplus \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} \theta_{\mathbf{i}} \hat{\mathbf{f}}.$$

Proof. In the algebra $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$, $(\theta_{\mathbf{i}}y, x) = (\theta_{\mathbf{i}}, \theta_{\mathbf{i}})(y, {}_{\mathbf{i}}r(x))$. Hence the decomposition $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu} = {}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu} \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu-\mathbf{i}}$ is an orthogonal decomposition and $\theta_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu-\mathbf{i}} = {}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu}^{\perp}$.

For the proof of this proposition, it is sufficient to show that ${}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu}^{\perp} = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} {}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu}^{\perp}$. That is $(\bigcap_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} {}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu})^{\perp} = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} {}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu}^{\perp}$. It is clear that $(\bigcap_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} {}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu})^{\perp} \supset \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} {}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu}^{\perp}$. On the other hand, $x \in (\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} {}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu}^{\perp})^{\perp}$ implies $x \in \bigcap_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} {}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu}$. Hence $\bigcap_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} {}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu} \supset (\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} {}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu}^{\perp})^{\perp}$. That is $(\bigcap_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} {}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu})^{\perp} \subset \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} {}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\nu}^{\perp}$.

□

Denoted by ${}_{\mathbf{i}}\pi : \hat{\mathbf{f}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ the canonical projection.

4.2. Geometric realization of ${}_{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}$.

4.2.1. Let $\mathbf{i}$ be a subset of $\mathbf{I}$ satisfying the following conditions: (1) for any $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{i}$ is a sink; (2) for any $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{i}$, there are no arrows between them.

For any $\mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}'_{\nu}$, consider a subvariety ${}_{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}}$ of $E_{\mathbf{V}}$

$${}_{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}} = \{x \in E_{\mathbf{V}} \mid \bigoplus_{h \in H, t(h)=\mathbf{i}} x_h : \bigoplus_{h \in H, t(h)=\mathbf{i}} V_{s(h)} \rightarrow V_{\mathbf{i}} \text{ is surjective for any } \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}\}.$$

Denote by ${}_{\mathbf{i}}j_{\mathbf{V}} : {}_{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{V}}$ the canonical embedding.

For any $\mathbf{y} \in Y_{\nu}$, let

$${}_{\mathbf{i}}\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}} = \{(x, \phi) \in {}_{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}} \times F_{\mathbf{y}} \mid \phi \text{ is } x\text{-stable}\}$$

and ${}_{\mathbf{i}}\pi_{\mathbf{y}} : {}_{\mathbf{i}}\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \rightarrow {}_{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}}$ be the projection to ${}_{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}}$.

For any $\mathbf{y} \in Y_{\nu}$, ${}_{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}} = {}_{\mathbf{i}}\pi_{\mathbf{y}}! \mathbf{1}_{{}_{\mathbf{i}}\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}} [d_{\mathbf{y}}](\frac{d_{\mathbf{y}}}{2}) \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}})$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf. Let ${}_{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$ be the set of isomorphism classes of simple perverse sheaves $\mathcal{L}$ on ${}_{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}}$ such that $\mathcal{L}[r](\frac{r}{2})$ appears as a direct summand of ${}_{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}$ for some $\mathbf{y} \in Y_{\nu}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let ${}_{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}})$ consisting of all complexes which are isomorphic to finite direct sums of complexes in the set $\{\mathcal{L}[r](\frac{r}{2}) \mid \mathcal{L} \in {}_{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}, r \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Let $K({}_{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})$ be the Grothendieck group of ${}_{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$. Define

$$v^{\pm}[\mathcal{L}] = [\mathcal{L}[\pm 1](\pm \frac{1}{2})].$$

Then, $K({}_i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})$ is a free $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Define

$$K({}_i\mathcal{Q}) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}I} K({}_i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}).$$

The canonical embedding ${}_ij_{\mathbf{V}} : {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{V}}$ induces a functor

$${}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^* : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}).$$

Lemma 4.4. *It holds that ${}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^*(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}) = {}_i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$.*

Proof. For any $\mathbf{y} \in Y_{\nu}$, we have the following fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_i\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}} & \xrightarrow{{}_i\tilde{j}_{\mathbf{V}}} & \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \downarrow {}_i\pi_{\mathbf{y}} & & \downarrow \pi_{\mathbf{y}} \\ {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}} & \xrightarrow{{}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}} & E_{\mathbf{V}} \end{array}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^*\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}} &= {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^*\pi_{\mathbf{y}}!\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}}[d_{\mathbf{y}}]\left(\frac{d_{\mathbf{y}}}{2}\right) \\ &= {}_i\pi_{\mathbf{y}}!{}_i\tilde{j}_{\mathbf{V}}^*\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}}[d_{\mathbf{y}}]\left(\frac{d_{\mathbf{y}}}{2}\right) \\ &= {}_i\pi_{\mathbf{y}}!\mathbf{1}_{{}_i\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{y}}}[d_{\mathbf{y}}]\left(\frac{d_{\mathbf{y}}}{2}\right) = {}_i\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}. \end{aligned}$$

That is ${}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^*(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}) = {}_i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$. □

The restriction of ${}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^* : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}})$ on $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ is also denoted by ${}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^* : \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow {}_i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$. Considering all dimension vectors, we have ${}_ij^* : K(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow K({}_i\mathcal{Q})$.

Proposition 4.5. *There exists an isomorphism of vector spaces ${}_i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} : K({}_i\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow {}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathcal{Q}) & \xrightarrow{{}_ij^*} & K({}_i\mathcal{Q}) \\ \downarrow {}_i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow {}_i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{{}_i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & {}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

Proof. Consider the following set of surjections:

$$\{{}_i\pi_{\mathcal{A}} : \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow {}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} \mid \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}\}.$$

It is clear that the push out of this set is ${}_i\pi_{\mathcal{A}} : \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow {}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

For any $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}$, there exists a canonical open embedding

$${}_ij_{\mathbf{V}} : {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{V}}.$$

Since ${}_iE_{\mathbf{V}} = \bigcap_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}} & \longrightarrow & {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}} \\ {}_jE_{\mathbf{V}} & \xrightarrow{{}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}} & E_{\mathbf{V}} \end{array}$$

for any $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{i}$. Hence we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}) & \xrightarrow{{}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^*} & K({}_i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}) \\ \downarrow {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^* & & \downarrow \\ K({}_j\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}) & \longrightarrow & K({}_i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}) \end{array}$$

For any simple perverse sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ such that ${}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^*\mathcal{L} = 0$, we have

$$\text{supp}(\mathcal{L}) \subset E_{\mathbf{V}} - {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}} = E_{\mathbf{V}} - \bigcap_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}} = \bigcup_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} (E_{\mathbf{V}} - {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}).$$

Hence $\text{supp}(\mathcal{L}) \subset E_{\mathbf{V}} - {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}$ for some $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}$ (Section 9.3.4 in [13]). So ${}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^*\mathcal{L} = 0$. By the definition of push out, the push out of the following set

$$\{{}_ij^* : K(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow K({}_i\mathcal{Q}) \mid \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}\}$$

is ${}_ij^* : K(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow K({}_i\mathcal{Q})$.

In [19], it was proved that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathcal{Q}) & \xrightarrow{{}_ij^*} & K({}_i\mathcal{Q}) \\ \downarrow \hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow {}_i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{{}_i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & {}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

for any $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}$. Hence there exists an isomorphism ${}_i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} : K({}_i\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow {}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathcal{Q}) & \xrightarrow{{}_ij^*} & K({}_i\mathcal{Q}) \\ \downarrow \hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow {}_i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{{}_i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & {}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

□

4.2.2. For any $\nu, \nu', \nu'' \in \mathbb{N}\mathbf{I}$ such that $\nu = \nu' + \nu''$, fix $\mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}'_\nu$, $\mathbf{V}' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\nu'}$, $\mathbf{V}'' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\nu''}$. Consider the following diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}'} \times {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}''} & \xleftarrow{p_1} & {}_iE' & \xrightarrow{p_2} & {}_iE'' & \xrightarrow{p_3} & {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}} \\ \downarrow {}_{ij_{\mathbf{V}'} \times ij_{\mathbf{V}''}} & & \downarrow j_1 & & \downarrow j_2 & & \downarrow ij_{\mathbf{V}} \\ E_{\mathbf{V}'} \times E_{\mathbf{V}''} & \xleftarrow{p_1} & E' & \xrightarrow{p_2} & E'' & \xrightarrow{p_3} & E_{\mathbf{V}} \end{array}$$

where

- (1) ${}_iE' = p_1^{-1}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}'} \times {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}''})$;
- (2) ${}_iE'' = p_2({}_iE')$;
- (3) the restrictions of p_1, p_2 and p_3 are also denoted by p_1, p_2 and p_3 respectively.

For any two complexes $\mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}'}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}'})$ and $\mathcal{L}'' \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}''}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}''})$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}''$ is defined as follows.

Let $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}' \otimes \mathcal{L}''$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 = p_1^* \mathcal{L}_1$. Since p_1 is smooth with connected fibres and p_2 is a $G_{\mathbf{V}'} \times G_{\mathbf{V}''}$ -principal bundle, there exists a complex $\mathcal{L}_3$ on ${}_iE'$ such that $p_2^*(\mathcal{L}_3) = \mathcal{L}_2$. $\mathcal{L}$ is defined as $(p_3)_! \mathcal{L}_3$. Note that $\mathcal{L}$ is not a perverse sheaf in general.

The canonical embedding $ij_{\mathbf{V}} : {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{V}}$ also induces a functor

$$ij_{\mathbf{V}!} : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}}).$$

Lemma 4.6. *It holds that $ij_{\mathbf{V}!}(\mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}'') = ij_{\mathbf{V}!}(\mathcal{L}') * ij_{\mathbf{V}''!}(\mathcal{L}'')$.*

Proof. Let $\hat{\mathcal{L}}' = ij_{\mathbf{V}'}! \mathcal{L}'$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}'' = ij_{\mathbf{V}''!} \mathcal{L}''$. Since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}'} \times {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}''} & \xleftarrow{p_1} & {}_iE' \\ \downarrow {}_{ij_{\mathbf{V}'} \times ij_{\mathbf{V}''}} & & \downarrow j_1 \\ E_{\mathbf{V}'} \times E_{\mathbf{V}''} & \xleftarrow{p_1} & E' \end{array}$$

is a fiber product, we have $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_2 := p_1^*(\hat{\mathcal{L}}' \otimes \hat{\mathcal{L}}'') = j_{1!} p_1^*(\mathcal{L}' \otimes \mathcal{L}'') = j_{1!} \mathcal{L}_2$.

There exists a complex $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_3$ on E' such that $p_2^*(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_3) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_2$. Since p_2^* are equivalences of categories, $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_3 = j_{2!} \mathcal{L}_3$.

At last, $ij_{\mathbf{V}'}!(\mathcal{L}') * ij_{\mathbf{V}''!}(\mathcal{L}'') = \hat{\mathcal{L}}' * \hat{\mathcal{L}}'' = p_{3!} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_3 = p_{3!} j_{2!} \mathcal{L}_3 = ij_{\mathbf{V}!} p_{3!} \mathcal{L}_3 = ij_{\mathbf{V}!}(\mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}'')$. $\square$

Let $K(\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}))$ be the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}})$ and $K(\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}}))$ be the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}})$. The functor

$$ij_{\mathbf{V}!} : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}})$$

induces a map

$$ij_{\mathbf{V}!} : K(\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}})) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}})).$$

Lemma 4.7. *It holds that $ij_{\mathbf{V}!}(K({}_i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})) \in K(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})$.*

Proof. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K({}_i\mathcal{Q}) & \xrightarrow{{}_ij_!} & \bigoplus_{\nu} K(\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}})) & \xrightarrow{{}_ij^*} & \bigoplus_{\nu} K(\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}})) \\
 \uparrow \scriptstyle {}_i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & K(\mathcal{Q}) & \xrightarrow{{}_ij^*} & K({}_i\mathcal{Q}) \\
 \uparrow \scriptstyle \hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} & & \uparrow \scriptstyle \hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} & & \uparrow \scriptstyle {}_i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} \\
 {}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \longrightarrow & \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{{}_i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & {}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}}
 \end{array}$$

Since the compositions

$$K({}_i\mathcal{Q}) \xrightarrow{{}_ij_!} \bigoplus_{\nu} K(\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}})) \xrightarrow{{}_ij^*} \bigoplus_{\nu} K(\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}))$$

and

$${}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{{}_i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} {}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

are identifies, the following diagram commutes

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc}
 K({}_i\mathcal{Q}) & \xrightarrow{{}_ij_!} & \bigoplus_{\nu} K(\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}})) & \xrightarrow{{}_ij^*} & \bigoplus_{\nu} K(\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}})) \\
 \uparrow \scriptstyle {}_i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} & & & & \uparrow \\
 & & K({}_i\mathcal{Q}) & & \\
 \uparrow \scriptstyle \hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} & & \uparrow \scriptstyle \hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} & & \uparrow \scriptstyle {}_i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} \\
 {}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \longrightarrow & \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{{}_i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & {}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}}
 \end{array}$$

For any homogeneous $x \in {}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}}$, choose $\mathcal{L} \in {}_i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ such that $[\mathcal{L}] = {}_i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(x)$. Let $\mathcal{L}_1 = {}_ij_!\mathcal{L}$. It is clear that $\text{supp}(\mathcal{L}_1) \in {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}$.

The subalgebra ${}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ of $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ is generated by $f(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}; m)$ for all $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{j} \notin \mathbf{i}$ and $m \leq -a_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}$. Let $\nu^{(m)} = m\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{NI}$. Fix an object $\mathbf{V}^{(m)} \in \mathcal{C}'$ such that $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}^{(m)} = \nu^{(m)}$. Denote by $\mathbf{1}_{{}_iE_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}})$ the constant sheaf on ${}_iE_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}$. Define

$$\mathcal{E}^{(m)} = j_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}!}(v^{-mN} \mathbf{1}_{{}_iE_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}}) \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}).$$

In [19], it was proved that $[\mathcal{E}^{(m)}] = \hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(f(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}; m))$. Since $\text{supp}(\mathcal{E}^{(m)}) \in {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}$, there exists $\mathcal{L}_2$ such that $[\mathcal{L}_2] = \hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(x)$ and $\text{supp}(\mathcal{L}_2) \in {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}$.

The Diagram (2) implies $[ij^*\mathcal{L}_1] = [ij^*\mathcal{L}_2]$. Hence $[\mathcal{L}_1] = [\mathcal{L}_2]$. That is, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K(i\mathcal{Q}) & \xrightarrow{ij!} & \bigoplus_{\nu} K(\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}})) \\
 \uparrow i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} & & \uparrow K(\mathcal{Q}) \\
 i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}}
 \end{array}$$

Hence $ij_{\mathbf{V}!}(K(i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})) \in K(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})$.

□

Lemma 4.8. *For any $\mathcal{L}' \in i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}'}$ and $\mathcal{L}'' \in i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}''}$, $[\mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}''] \in K(i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})$.*

Proof. Let $\hat{\mathcal{L}}' = ij_{\mathbf{V}'!}(\mathcal{L}')$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}'' = ij_{\mathbf{V}''!}(\mathcal{L}'')$. Lemma 4.6 implies $\mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}'' = ij_{\mathbf{V}}^* ij_{\mathbf{V}!}(\mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}'') = ij_{\mathbf{V}}^*(\hat{\mathcal{L}}' * \hat{\mathcal{L}}'')$. By Lemma 4.7, $[\hat{\mathcal{L}}']$ and $[\hat{\mathcal{L}}''] \in K(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})$. Hence $[ij_{\mathbf{V}!}(\mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}'')] \in K(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})$. So $[\mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}''] \in K(i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})$.

□

Hence, we get an associative $\mathcal{A}$ -bilinear multiplication

$$\begin{aligned}
 \otimes : K(i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}'}) \times K(i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}''}) &\rightarrow K(i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}) \\
 ([\mathcal{L}'], [\mathcal{L}'']) &\mapsto [\mathcal{L}'] \otimes [\mathcal{L}''] = [\mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}'']
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{L}' \otimes \mathcal{L}'' = (\mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}'')[m_{\nu'\nu''}](\frac{m_{\nu'\nu''}}{2})$. Then $K(i\mathcal{Q})$ becomes an associative $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra and the set $\{[\mathcal{L}] \mid \mathcal{L} \in i\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}\}$ is a basis of $K(i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})$.

Proposition 4.9. *We have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K(i\mathcal{Q}) & \xrightarrow{ij!} & K(\mathcal{Q}) \\
 \downarrow i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow \hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} \\
 i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}}
 \end{array}$$

Moreover, $i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} : K(i\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is an isomorphism of algebras.

Proof. By the proof of Lemma 4.7, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K(i\mathcal{Q}) & \xrightarrow{ij!} & K(\mathcal{Q}) & \xrightarrow{ij^*} & K(i\mathcal{Q}) \\
 \downarrow i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow \hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} \\
 i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}}
 \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.6 implies $ij! : K(i\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{Q})$ is a monomorphism of algebras. Since $\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} : K(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is an isomorphism of algebras, $i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} : K(i\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is also an isomorphism of algebras.

□

4.3. Geometric realization of ${}_i\mathbf{f}$.

4.3.1. Let $\tilde{Q} = (Q, a)$ be a quiver with automorphism, where $Q = (\mathbf{I}, H, s, t)$. Fix $i \in I = \mathbf{I}^a$ and assume that $\mathbf{i}$ is a sink for any $\mathbf{i} \in i$.

For any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}\mathbf{I}^a$ and $\mathbf{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\nu$, $\mathbf{V}$ can be viewed as an object in $\mathcal{C}'_\nu$. Hence ${}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}$ is defined in Section 4.2. The morphism $a : E_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{V}}$ satisfies that $a({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}) = {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}$. Hence we have a functor $a^* : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}})$.

Lemma 4.10. *It holds that $a^*({}_iQ_{\mathbf{V}}) = {}_iQ_{\mathbf{V}}$.*

Proof. Note that $a \circ {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}} = {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}} \circ a$. Hence $a^*{}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^* = {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^*a^*$. Since $a^*(Q_{\mathbf{V}}) = Q_{\mathbf{V}}$ and ${}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^*(Q_{\mathbf{V}}) = {}_iQ_{\mathbf{V}}$, $a^*({}_iQ_{\mathbf{V}}) = {}_iQ_{\mathbf{V}}$. □

Similarly to $\tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$, we can get a category ${}_i\tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$. The objects in ${}_i\tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ are pairs $(\mathcal{L}, \phi)$, where $\mathcal{L} \in {}_iQ_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $\phi : a^*\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is an isomorphism such that

$$a^{*\mathbf{n}}\mathcal{L} \rightarrow a^{*(\mathbf{n}-1)}\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a^*\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$$

is the identity map of $\mathcal{L}$. A morphism in $\text{Hom}_{{}_i\tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}}((\mathcal{L}, \phi), (\mathcal{L}', \phi'))$ is a morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_{{}_iQ_{\mathbf{V}}}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}')$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a^*\mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{L} \\ \downarrow a^*f & & \downarrow f \\ a^*\mathcal{L}' & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & \mathcal{L}' \end{array}$$

For any $(\mathcal{L}, \phi) \in {}_i\tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$, the map ${}_i\phi = {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^*\phi : a^*{}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^*\mathcal{L} = {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^*a^*\mathcal{L} \rightarrow {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^*\mathcal{L}$ is also an isomorphism. Hence we get a functor ${}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^* : {}_i\tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow {}_i\tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$. Similarly, $K({}_i\tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})$ has a natural $\mathcal{O}'$ -module structure.

For $\nu, \nu', \nu'' \in \mathbb{N}\mathbf{I} = \mathbb{N}\mathbf{I}^a$ such that $\nu = \nu' + \nu''$, fix $\mathbf{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\nu$, $\mathbf{V}'' \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\nu''}$, $\mathbf{V}' \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\nu'}$, $\mathbf{V}'' \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\nu''}$. Similarly to Lemma 3.5, the induction functor

$$* : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}'}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}'}) \times \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}''}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}''}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}})$$

is compatible with a^* . By Lemma 4.8, we have an associative $\mathcal{O}'$ -bilinear multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes : K({}_i\tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{V}'}) \times K({}_i\tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{V}''}) &\rightarrow K({}_i\tilde{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}) \\ ([\mathcal{L}', \phi'], [\mathcal{L}'', \phi'']) &\mapsto [\mathcal{L}, \phi] \end{aligned}$$

where $(\mathcal{L}, \phi) = (\mathcal{L}', \phi') \otimes (\mathcal{L}'', \phi'') = ((\mathcal{L}', \phi') * (\mathcal{L}'', \phi''))[m_{\nu'\nu''}](\frac{m_{\nu'\nu''}}{2})$. Then $K({}_i\tilde{Q})$ becomes an associative $\mathcal{O}'$ -algebra.

$$a(\phi) = (\mathbf{V} = a(\mathbf{V}^k) \supset a(\mathbf{V}^{k-1}) \supset \dots \supset a(\mathbf{V}^0) = 0)$$
$$\phi = (\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^k \supset \mathbf{V}^{k-1} \supset \dots \supset \mathbf{V}^0 = 0) \in {}_i F_{\mathbf{y}}.$$

Since $a^*(\mathbf{1}_{i\tilde{F}_y}) \cong \mathbf{1}_{i\tilde{F}_y}$, there exists an isomorphism

Then $({}_i\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}, {}_i\phi_0)$ is an object in ${}_i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}$.

4.3.3. Since $({}_i\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}, {}_i\phi_0) = {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{y}}, \phi_0)$, the functor ${}_ij_{\mathbf{V}}^* : \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow {}_i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}$ induces a map ${}_ij^* : \mathbf{k} \rightarrow {}_i\mathbf{k}$.

$${}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} : {}_i\mathbf{k} \rightarrow {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}}$$
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{k} & \xrightarrow{ij^*} & i\mathbf{k} \\ \downarrow \lambda_A & & \downarrow i\lambda_A \\ \mathbf{f}_A & \xrightarrow{i\pi_A} & i\mathbf{f}_A \end{array}$$
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} \\ \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow i\delta \\ \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$
$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \theta_i \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow i\delta \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \sum_{i \in \hat{i}} \theta_i \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \longrightarrow & \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & i\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Note that $\theta_i \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbf{B}$ is a basis of $\theta_i \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in i} \theta_i \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \hat{\mathbf{B}}$ is a basis of $\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in i} \theta_i \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\delta(\mathbf{B}) = \hat{\mathbf{B}}^a$. For any $[B, \phi] \in \theta_i \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbf{B}$, $B \in \mathcal{P}_{i, \gamma_i} \cap \hat{\mathbf{B}}^a$, where $\gamma_i = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in i} \mathbf{i}$. Since $\mathcal{P}_{i, \gamma_i} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}}$, $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}}$ for any $\mathbf{i} \in i$. Hence $\delta([B, \phi]) = [B] \in \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in i} \theta_i \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \hat{\mathbf{B}}^a$. So there exists an map ${}_i\delta : {}_i\mathbf{f} \rightarrow {}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ such that the Diagram (3) commutes.

On the other hand, for any $[B] \in \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in i} \theta_i \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \hat{\mathbf{B}}^a$, $[B] \in \theta_i \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \hat{\mathbf{B}}^a$ for some $\mathbf{i} \in i$. Hence $B \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}} \cap \hat{\mathbf{B}}^a$. By Lemma 12.5.1 in [13], $B \in \mathcal{P}_{i, \gamma_i}$. So $[B] \in \delta(\theta_i \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbf{B})$. Hence ${}_i\delta : {}_i\mathbf{f} \rightarrow {}_i\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ is an embedding. $\square$

Lemma 4.13. *There exists an embedding ${}_i\tilde{\delta} : {}_i\mathbf{k} \rightarrow K({}_i\mathcal{Q})$ such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{k} & \xrightarrow{{}_ij^*} & {}_i\mathbf{k} \\ \downarrow \tilde{\delta} & & \downarrow {}_i\tilde{\delta} \\ K(\mathcal{Q}) & \xrightarrow{{}_ij^*} & K({}_i\mathcal{Q}) \end{array}$$

Proof. For any $[{}_i\mathcal{L}, {}_i\phi] \in {}_i\mathbf{k}$, where ${}_i\mathcal{L}$ is a simple perverse sheaf, there exist $[\mathcal{L}, \phi] \in \mathbf{k}$ such that ${}_ij^*(\mathcal{L}, \phi) = ({}_i\mathcal{L}, {}_i\phi)$. Define ${}_i\tilde{\delta}([{}_i\mathcal{L}, {}_i\phi]) = {}_ij^*\tilde{\delta}[\mathcal{L}, \phi]$. Since the definition of ${}_i\tilde{\delta}$ is independent of the choice of $[\mathcal{L}, \phi]$, we get the desired embedding ${}_i\tilde{\delta} : {}_i\mathbf{k} \rightarrow K({}_i\mathcal{Q})$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.11. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{k} & & \xrightarrow{{}_ij^*} & & {}_i\mathbf{k} \\ & \searrow \lambda_{\mathcal{A}} & & & \swarrow {}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} \\ & \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{{}_i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \\ & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow {}_i\delta & \\ & \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{{}_i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \\ & \nearrow \hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} & & \nwarrow {}_i\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} & \\ K(\mathcal{Q}) & & \xrightarrow{{}_ij^*} & & K({}_i\mathcal{Q}) \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.12, 4.13 and Proposition 4.5 imply that there exists a unique $\mathcal{A}$ -linear isomorphism

$${}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} : {}_i\mathbf{k} \rightarrow {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{k} & \xrightarrow{ij^*} & {}_i\mathbf{k} \\ \downarrow \lambda_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow {}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} \\ \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

□

4.3.4. In Proposition 4.9, we have defined a map ${}_ij_{\mathbf{V}!} : K({}_i\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{Q})$. Since $a \circ {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}} = {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}} \circ a$, we have $a^* {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}!} = {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}!} a^*$. For any isomorphism $\phi : a^* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, the map $\phi' = {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}!} \phi : a^* {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}!} \mathcal{L} = {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}!} a^* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow {}_ij_{\mathbf{V}!} \mathcal{L}$ is also an isomorphism. Hence we get a map ${}_ij_! : K({}_i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}) \rightarrow K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}})$.

Consider Diagram (1). Since a commutes with p_1, p_2, p_3 and j_1, j_2 , Lemma 4.6 implies that ${}_ij_! : K({}_i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}) \rightarrow K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}})$ is a homomorphism of algebras.

Theorem 4.14. *It holds that ${}_ij_!({}_i\mathbf{k}) \subset \mathbf{k}$ and we have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_i\mathbf{k} & \xrightarrow{{}_ij_!} & \mathbf{k} \\ \downarrow {}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow \lambda_{\mathcal{A}} \\ {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

Moreover, ${}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} : {}_i\mathbf{k} \rightarrow {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is an isomorphism of algebras.

Proof. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} {}_i\mathbf{k} & \xrightarrow{{}_ij_!} & K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}) & \xrightarrow{{}_ij^*} & K({}_i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}) \\ \uparrow {}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & \mathbf{k} & \xrightarrow{ij^*} & {}_i\mathbf{k} \\ & & \uparrow \lambda_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} & & \uparrow {}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1} \\ {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

Since the compositions

$${}_i\mathbf{k} \xrightarrow{{}_ij_!} K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}) \xrightarrow{{}_ij^*} K({}_i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}})$$

and

$${}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

are identifies, the following diagram commutes

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & {}_i\mathbf{k} & \xrightarrow{{}_ij!} & K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}) & \xrightarrow{{}_ij^*} & K({}_i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}) & \\ & \uparrow & & & & & \uparrow & \\ {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{{}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}} & {}_i\mathbf{k} & & {}_i\mathbf{k} & & {}_i\mathbf{k} & \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\ & & {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{{}_i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & & {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \end{array}$$

For any homogeneous $x \in {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}}$, choose $(\mathcal{L}, \phi) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ such that $[\mathcal{L}, \phi] = {}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(x)$. Let $(\mathcal{L}_1, \phi_1) = {}_ij!(\mathcal{L}, \phi)$. It is clear that $\text{supp}(\mathcal{L}_1) \in {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}$.

The subalgebra ${}_i\mathbf{f}$ of $\mathbf{f}$ is generated by $f(i, j; m)$ for all $j \neq i$ and $m \leq -a_{ij}$. Let $\nu^{(m)} = m\gamma_i + \gamma_j \in \mathbb{N}\mathbf{I}^a$. Fix an object $\mathbf{V}^{(m)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $\dim \mathbf{V}^{(m)} = \nu^{(m)}$. Denote by $\mathbf{1}_{{}_iE_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}})$ the constant sheaf on ${}_iE_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}$. Define

$$\mathcal{E}^{(m)} = j_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}!}(v^{-mN} \mathbf{1}_{{}_iE_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}}) \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}).$$

In Section 5.2, it will be proved that $[\mathcal{E}^{(m)}, \text{id}] = \hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(f(i, j; m))$. Since $\text{supp}(\mathcal{E}^{(m)}) \in {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}$, there exists $(\mathcal{L}_2, \phi_2)$ such that $[\mathcal{L}_2, \phi_2] = \hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(x)$ and $\text{supp}(\mathcal{L}_2) \in {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}}$.

The Diagram (4) implies $[{}_ij^*\mathcal{L}_1, {}_ij^*\phi_1] = [{}_ij^*\mathcal{L}_2, {}_ij^*\phi_2]$. Hence $[\mathcal{L}_1, \phi_1] = [\mathcal{L}_2, \phi_2]$. That is the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_i\mathbf{k} & \xrightarrow{{}_ij!} & K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{{}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}} & {}_i\mathbf{k} \end{array}$$

Hence ${}_ij!({}_i\mathbf{k}) \subset \mathbf{k}$ and we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} {}_i\mathbf{k} & \xrightarrow{{}_ij!} & \mathbf{k} & \xrightarrow{{}_ij^*} & {}_i\mathbf{k} \\ \downarrow {}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow \lambda_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow {}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} \\ {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{{}_i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{{}_i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

Since ${}_ij! : {}_i\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is a monomorphism of algebras and ${}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}}$, the $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra isomorphism $\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ induces that ${}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} : {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow {}_i\mathbf{k}$ is also an isomorphism of algebras.

□

4.4. Geometric realization of ${}_i\mathbf{f}$.

4.4.1. Let $\mathbf{i}$ be a subset of $\mathbf{I}$ satisfying the following conditions: (1) for any $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{i}$ is a source; (2) for any $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{i}$, there are no arrows between them.

For any $\mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}'_\nu$, consider a subvariety ${}^{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}}$ of $E_{\mathbf{V}}$

$${}^{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}} = \{x \in E_{\mathbf{V}} \mid \bigoplus_{h \in H, s(h)=\mathbf{i}} x_h : V_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{h \in H, s(h)=\mathbf{i}} V_{t(h)} \text{ is injective for any } \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}\}.$$

Denote by ${}^{\mathbf{i}}j_{\mathbf{V}} : {}^{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{V}}$ the canonical embedding.

Similarly to the notations in Section 4.2, the categories ${}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{V}}$ and ${}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ can be defined. Let $K({}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})$ be the Grothendieck group.

The canonical embedding ${}^{\mathbf{i}}j_{\mathbf{V}} : {}^{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{V}}$ induces ${}^{\mathbf{i}}j_{\mathbf{V}}^* : \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}$ and ${}^{\mathbf{i}}j_{\mathbf{V}!} : K({}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}})$. Considering all dimension vectors, we have ${}^{\mathbf{i}}j^* : K(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow K({}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{Q})$ and ${}^{\mathbf{i}}j_! : K({}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{Q})$.

Proposition 4.15. *There exists an isomorphism of algebras ${}^{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} : K({}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K({}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{Q}) & \xrightarrow{{}^{\mathbf{i}}j_!} & K(\mathcal{Q}) & \xrightarrow{{}^{\mathbf{i}}j^*} & K({}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{Q}) \\ \downarrow {}^{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow \hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow {}^{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\lambda}_{\mathcal{A}} \\ {}^{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \longrightarrow & \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{{}^{\mathbf{i}}\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & {}^{\mathbf{i}}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

4.4.2. Let $\tilde{Q} = (Q, a)$ be a quiver with automorphism, where $Q = (\mathbf{I}, H, s, t)$. Fix $i \in I = \mathbf{I}^a$ and assume that $\mathbf{i}$ is a source for any $\mathbf{i} \in i$.

Similarly to the notations in Section 4.3, the category ${}^i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}$ can be defined. Let $K({}^i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}})$ be the Grothendieck group. We also have ${}^ij_{\mathbf{V}}^* : \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}$ and ${}^ij_! : K({}^i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}) \rightarrow K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}})$.

Consider the subalgebra ${}^i\mathbf{k}$ of $K({}^i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}})$. The functor ${}^ij_{\mathbf{V}}^* : \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow {}^i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}$ induces a map ${}^ij^* : \mathbf{k} \rightarrow {}^i\mathbf{k}$. The map ${}^ij_! : K({}^i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}) \rightarrow K(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}})$ induces a map ${}^ij_! : {}^i\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$.

Theorem 4.16. *There is a unique $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra isomorphism*

$${}^i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} : {}^i\mathbf{k} \rightarrow {}^i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}}$$

such that the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} {}^i\mathbf{k} & \xrightarrow{{}^ij_!} & \mathbf{k} & \xrightarrow{{}^ij^*} & {}^i\mathbf{k} \\ \downarrow {}^i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow \lambda_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow {}^i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} \\ {}^i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{{}^i\pi_{\mathcal{A}}} & {}^i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

5. GEOMETRIC REALIZATION OF $T_i : {}_i\mathbf{f} \rightarrow {}^i\mathbf{f}$

5.1. Geometric realization.

5.1.1. Let $Q = (\mathbf{I}, H, s, t)$ be a quiver. Let $\mathbf{i}$ be a subset of $\mathbf{I}$ satisfying the following conditions: (1) for any $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{i}$ is a sink; (2) for any $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{i}$, there are no arrows between them.

Let $Q' = \sigma_{\mathbf{i}}Q = (\mathbf{I}, H', s, t)$ be the quiver by reversing the directions of all arrows in Q containing $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}$. So for any $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{i}$ is a source of Q' .

For any $\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{N}\mathbf{I}$ such that $\nu' = s_{\mathbf{i}}\nu$ and $\mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}'_{\nu}$, $\mathbf{V}' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\nu'}$, consider the following correspondence ([12, 5])

$$(5) \quad {}_{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}, Q} \xleftarrow{\alpha} Z_{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}'} \xrightarrow{\beta} {}^{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}', Q'},$$

where

(1) $Z_{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}'}$ is the subset of $E_{\mathbf{V}, Q} \times E_{\mathbf{V}', Q'}$ consisting of all (x, y) satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) for any $h \in H$ such that $t(h) \notin \mathbf{i}$, $x_h = y_h$;
- (b) for any $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}$, the following sequence is exact

$$0 \longrightarrow V'_{\mathbf{i}} \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{h \in H', s(h)=\mathbf{i}} y_h} \bigoplus_{h \in H, t(h)=\mathbf{i}} V_{s(h)} \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{h \in H, t(h)=\mathbf{i}} x_h} V_{\mathbf{i}} \longrightarrow 0 ;$$

(2) $\alpha(x, y) = x$ and $\beta(x, y) = y$.

From now on, ${}_{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}, Q}$ is denoted by ${}_{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}}$ and ${}^{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}', Q'}$ is denoted by ${}^{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}'}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}'} &= \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} GL(V_{\mathbf{i}}) \times \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} GL(V'_{\mathbf{i}}) \times \prod_{\mathbf{j} \notin \mathbf{i}} GL(V_{\mathbf{j}}) \\ &\cong \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} GL(V_{\mathbf{i}}) \times \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} GL(V'_{\mathbf{i}}) \times \prod_{\mathbf{j} \notin \mathbf{i}} GL(V'_{\mathbf{j}}), \end{aligned}$$

which acts on $Z_{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}'}$ naturally.

By (5), we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}'}}(Z_{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}'}) \xleftarrow{\beta^*} \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}'}}({}^{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}'}).$$

Since α and β are principal bundles with fibers $\prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} \text{Aut}(V'_{\mathbf{i}})$ and $\prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} \text{Aut}(V_{\mathbf{i}})$ respectively, α^* and β^* are equivalences of categories ([2]).

Hence, for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}})$ there exists a unique $\mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}'}}({}^{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}'})$ such that $\alpha^*(\mathcal{L}) = \beta^*(\mathcal{L}')$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}({}_{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}'}}({}^{\mathbf{i}}E_{\mathbf{V}'}) \\ \mathcal{L} &\mapsto \mathcal{L}'[-s(\mathbf{V})](-\frac{s(\mathbf{V})}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

where $s(\mathbf{V}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}} (\dim GL(V_{\mathbf{i}}) - \dim GL(V'_{\mathbf{i}}))$. Since α^* and β^* are equivalences of categories, $\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is also an equivalence of categories.

Proposition 5.1. *It holds that $\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}}({}_{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}) = {}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}'}$.*

For the proof of Proposition 5.1, we give some new notations. Let $\mathbf{i}_1$ be a subset of $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{i}_2 = \mathbf{i} - \mathbf{i}_1$, consider the quiver $\sigma_{\mathbf{i}_1} Q$. For any $\mathbf{V} \in \mathcal{C}'_\nu$, consider a subvariety ${}_{\mathbf{i}_2}^{i_1} E_{\mathbf{V}, \sigma_{\mathbf{i}_1} Q}$ of $E_{\mathbf{V}, \sigma_{\mathbf{i}_1} Q}$

$${}_{\mathbf{i}_2}^{i_1} E_{\mathbf{V}, \sigma_{\mathbf{i}_1} Q} = \{x \in E_{\mathbf{V}, \sigma_{\mathbf{i}_1} Q} \mid \bigoplus_{h \in H, t(h)=\mathbf{i}} x_h : \bigoplus_{h \in H, t(h)=\mathbf{i}} V_{s(h)} \rightarrow V_{\mathbf{i}} \text{ is surjective}$$

$$\text{for any } \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}_2 \text{ and } \bigoplus_{h \in H, s(h)=\mathbf{i}} x_h : V_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{h \in H, s(h)=\mathbf{i}} V_{t(h)} \text{ is injective for any } \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{i}_1\}.$$

Denote by ${}_{\mathbf{i}_2}^{i_1} j_{\mathbf{V}, \sigma_{\mathbf{i}_1} Q} : {}_{\mathbf{i}_2}^{i_1} E_{\mathbf{V}, \sigma_{\mathbf{i}_1} Q} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{V}, \sigma_{\mathbf{i}_1} Q}$ the canonical embedding.

Let $\mathbf{i} = \{\mathbf{i}_1, \mathbf{i}_2, \dots, \mathbf{i}_l\}$, $\mathbf{i}_k = \{\mathbf{i}_k, \dots, \mathbf{i}_{l-1}, \mathbf{i}_l\}$ and $\mathbf{i}'_k = \mathbf{i} - \mathbf{i}_k$. For any $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{l+1} \in \mathbb{N}\mathbf{I}$ such that $\nu_{k+1} = s_{\mathbf{i}_k} \nu_k$ and $\mathbf{V}^k \in \mathcal{C}'_{\nu_k}$, consider the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} {}_{\mathbf{i}_k}^{i'_k} E_{\mathbf{V}^k, Q_k} & \xleftarrow{\alpha_k} & \hat{Z}_{\mathbf{V}^k \mathbf{V}^{k+1}} & \xrightarrow{\beta_k} & {}_{\mathbf{i}_{k+1}}^{i'_{k+1}} E_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}, Q_{k+1}} \\ \downarrow {}_{\mathbf{i}_k}^{i'_k} j_{\mathbf{V}^k, Q_k} & & \downarrow j_k & & \downarrow {}_{\mathbf{i}_{k+1}}^{i'_{k+1}} j_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}, Q_{k+1}} \\ {}_{\mathbf{i}_k} E_{\mathbf{V}^k, Q_k} & \xleftarrow{\alpha_k} & Z_{\mathbf{V}^k \mathbf{V}^{k+1}} & \xrightarrow{\beta_k} & {}_{\mathbf{i}_k} E_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}, Q_{k+1}} \end{array}$$

where

- (1) $\hat{Z}_{\mathbf{V}^k \mathbf{V}^{k+1}}$ is the subset of ${}_{\mathbf{i}_k}^{i'_k} E_{\mathbf{V}^k, Q_k} \times {}_{\mathbf{i}_{k+1}}^{i'_{k+1}} E_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}, Q_{k+1}}$ consisting of all (x, y) satisfying the following conditions:
 - (a) for any $h \in H$ such that $t(h) \neq \mathbf{i}_k$, $x_h = y_h$;
 - (b) the following sequence is exact

$$0 \longrightarrow V_{\mathbf{i}_k}^{k+1} \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{h \in H', s(h)=\mathbf{i}_k} y_h} \bigoplus_{h \in H, t(h)=\mathbf{i}_k} V_{s(h)}^k \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{h \in H, t(h)=\mathbf{i}_k} x_h} V_{\mathbf{i}_k}^k \longrightarrow 0 ;$$

- (2) $\alpha_k(x, y) = x$ and $\beta_k(x, y) = y$;

- (3) $j_k : \hat{Z}_{\mathbf{V}^k \mathbf{V}^{k+1}} \rightarrow Z_{\mathbf{V}^k \mathbf{V}^{k+1}}$ is the canonical embedding.

The algebraic group $G_{\mathbf{V}^k \mathbf{V}^{k+1}}$ also acts on $\hat{Z}_{\mathbf{V}^k \mathbf{V}^{k+1}}$ naturally. Then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^k}}({}_{\mathbf{i}_k} E_{\mathbf{V}^k, Q_k}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_k^*} & \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^k \mathbf{V}^{k+1}}}(Z_{\mathbf{V}^k \mathbf{V}^{k+1}}) & \xleftarrow{\beta_k^*} & \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}}}({}_{\mathbf{i}_k} E_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}, Q_{k+1}}) \\ \downarrow {}_{\mathbf{i}_k}^{i'_k} j_{\mathbf{V}^k, Q_k}^* & & \downarrow j_k^* & & \downarrow {}_{\mathbf{i}_{k+1}}^{i'_{k+1}} j_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}, Q_{k+1}}^* \\ \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^k}}({}_{\mathbf{i}_k}^{i'_k} E_{\mathbf{V}^k, Q_k}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_k^*} & \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^k \mathbf{V}^{k+1}}}(\hat{Z}_{\mathbf{V}^k \mathbf{V}^{k+1}}) & \xleftarrow{\beta_k^*} & \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}}}({}_{\mathbf{i}_{k+1}}^{i'_{k+1}} E_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}, Q_{k+1}}) \end{array}$$

where α_k^* and β_k^* are equivalences of categories.

Hence, for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^k}}({}_{\mathbf{i}_k}^{i'_k} E_{\mathbf{V}^k, Q_k})$, there exists a unique $\mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}}}({}_{\mathbf{i}_{k+1}}^{i'_{k+1}} E_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}, Q_{k+1}})$ such that $\alpha_k^*(\mathcal{L}) = \beta_k^*(\mathcal{L}')$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}_k} : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^k}}({}_{\mathbf{i}_k}^{i'_k} E_{\mathbf{V}^k, Q_k}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}}}({}_{\mathbf{i}_{k+1}}^{i'_{k+1}} E_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}, Q_{k+1}}) \\ \mathcal{L} &\mapsto \mathcal{L}'[-s(\mathbf{V}^k)](-\frac{s(\mathbf{V}^k)}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

where $s(\mathbf{V}^k) = \dim \mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathbf{i}_k}^k) - \dim \mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathbf{i}_k}^{k+1})$. Since α_k^* and β_k^* are equivalences of categories, $\hat{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}_k}$ is also an equivalence of categories and we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^k}}(\mathbf{i}_k E_{\mathbf{V}^k, Q_k}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}_k}} & \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}}}(\mathbf{i}_k E_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}, Q_{k+1}}) \\ \downarrow \mathbf{i}'_k j_{\mathbf{V}^k, Q_k}^* & & \downarrow \mathbf{i}'_{k+1} j_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}, Q_{k+1}}^* \\ \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^k}}(\mathbf{i}'_k E_{\mathbf{V}^k, Q_k}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}_k}} & \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}}}(\mathbf{i}'_{k+1} E_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}, Q_{k+1}}) \end{array}$$

In [19], it was proved that $\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}_k}(\mathbf{i}_k \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}^k, Q_k}) = \mathbf{i}_k \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}, Q_{k+1}}$. Hence

$$(6) \quad \hat{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}_k}(\mathbf{i}'_k \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}^k, Q_k}) = \mathbf{i}'_{k+1} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}^{k+1}, Q_{k+1}}.$$

The proof of Proposition 5.1. Note that $\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}} = \prod_{k=1}^l \hat{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}_k}$. Formula (6) implies

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{i} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}}) = \mathbf{i} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}'}.$$

□

Hence, we can define $\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbf{i} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow \mathbf{i} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{V}'}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{i}} : K(\mathbf{i} \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow K(\mathbf{i} \mathcal{Q})$.

5.1.2. Let $\tilde{Q} = (Q, a)$ be a quiver with automorphism, where $Q = (\mathbf{I}, H, s, t)$. Let $i \in I = \mathbf{I}^a$ and $\mathbf{i}$ is a sink for any $\mathbf{i} \in i$.

Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} {}_i E_{\mathbf{V}} & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & Z_{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}'} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & {}_i E_{\mathbf{V}'} \\ a \downarrow & & a \downarrow & & a \downarrow \\ {}_i E_{\mathbf{V}} & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & Z_{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}'} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & {}_i E_{\mathbf{V}'} \end{array}$$

where $a : Z_{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}'} \rightarrow Z_{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}'}$ is defined by $a(x, y) = (a(x), a(y))$ for any $(x, y) \in Z_{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}'}$. Hence we have $a^* \tilde{\omega}_i = \tilde{\omega}_i a^*$. So we have a functor $\tilde{\omega}_i : {}_i \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}} \rightarrow {}_i \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}'}$ and a map $\tilde{\omega}_i : K({}_i \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}) \rightarrow K({}_i \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}'})$.

Proposition 5.2. *The map $\tilde{\omega}_i : K({}_i \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}) \rightarrow K({}_i \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}'})$ is an isomorphism of algebras.*

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} {}_i E_{\mathbf{V}_1} \times {}_i E_{\mathbf{V}_2} & \xleftarrow{p_1} & {}_i E' & \xrightarrow{p_2} & {}_i E'' & \xrightarrow{p_3} & {}_i E_{\mathbf{V}} \\ \uparrow \alpha & & \uparrow \alpha_1 & & \uparrow \alpha_2 & & \uparrow \alpha \\ Z_{\mathbf{V}_1 \mathbf{V}'_1} \times Z_{\mathbf{V}_2 \mathbf{V}'_2} & \xleftarrow{p_1} & Z' & \xrightarrow{p_2} & Z'' & \xrightarrow{p_3} & Z_{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}'} \\ \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \beta_1 & & \downarrow \beta_2 & & \downarrow \beta \\ {}_i E_{\mathbf{V}'_1} \times {}_i E_{\mathbf{V}'_2} & \xleftarrow{p_1} & {}_i E' & \xrightarrow{p_2} & {}_i E'' & \xrightarrow{p_3} & {}_i E_{\mathbf{V}'} \end{array}$$

where

- (1) Z'' is a subset of ${}_iE'' \times {}^iE''$ consisting of the elements $(x, \mathbf{W}; y, \mathbf{W}')$ satisfying the following conditions:
- (a) $(x, y) \in Z_{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}'}$;
 - (b) for any $h \in H$ such that $t(h) \notin i$, $(x|_{\mathbf{W}})_h = (y|_{\mathbf{W}'}_h)$;
 - (c) for any $\mathbf{i} \in i$, the following sequence is exact

$$0 \longrightarrow W'_{\mathbf{i}} \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{h \in H', s(h)=\mathbf{i}} (y|_{\mathbf{W}'}_h)} \bigoplus_{h \in H, t(h)=\mathbf{i}} W_{s(h)} \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{h \in H, t(h)=\mathbf{i}} (x|_{\mathbf{W}})_h} W_{\mathbf{i}} \longrightarrow 0 ;$$

- (2) Z' is a subset of ${}_iE' \times {}^iE'$ consisting of the elements $(x, \mathbf{W}, R_2, R_1; y, \mathbf{W}', R'_2, R'_1)$ satisfying the following conditions:
- (a) $(x, \mathbf{W}; y, \mathbf{W}') \in Z''$;
 - (b) $(x_1, y_1) \in Z_{\mathbf{V}_1\mathbf{V}'_1}$ and $(x_2, y_2) \in Z_{\mathbf{V}_2\mathbf{V}'_2}$, where $(x_1, x_2) = p_1(x, \mathbf{W}, R_2, R_1)$ and $(y_1, y_2) = p_1(y, \mathbf{W}', R'_2, R'_1)$;
 - (3) $p_1(x, \mathbf{W}, R'', R'; y, \mathbf{W}', R'_2, R'_1) = ((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2))$;
 - (4) $p_2(x, \mathbf{W}, R'', R'; y, \mathbf{W}', R'_2, R'_1) = (x, \mathbf{W}; y, \mathbf{W}')$;
 - (5) $p_3(x, \mathbf{W}; y, \mathbf{W}') = (x, y)$.

For any two complexes $\mathcal{L}_1 \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}'}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}'})$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}''}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}''})$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 * \mathcal{L}_2$ is defined as follows. Let $\mathcal{L}_3 = \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$ and $\mathcal{L}_4 = p_1^* \mathcal{L}_3$. There exists a complex $\mathcal{L}_5$ on ${}_iE'$ such that $p_2^*(\mathcal{L}_5) = \mathcal{L}_4$. $\mathcal{L}$ is defined as $(p_3)_! \mathcal{L}_5$.

Let $\mathcal{L}'_1 \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}'}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}'})$ be the unique complex such that $\alpha^* \mathcal{L}_1 = \beta^* \mathcal{L}'_1$ and $\mathcal{L}'_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}''}}({}_iE_{\mathbf{V}''})$ be the unique complex such that $\alpha^* \mathcal{L}_2 = \beta^* \mathcal{L}'_2$. $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}'_1 * \mathcal{L}'_2$ is defined as follows. Let $\mathcal{L}'_3 = \mathcal{L}'_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}'_2$ and $\mathcal{L}'_4 = p_1^* \mathcal{L}'_3$. There exists a complex $\mathcal{L}'_5$ on ${}_iE'$ such that $p_2^*(\mathcal{L}'_5) = \mathcal{L}'_4$. $\mathcal{L}'$ is defined as $(p_3)_! \mathcal{L}'_5$.

Since $\alpha_1^* \mathcal{L}_4 = \alpha_1^* p_1^* \mathcal{L}_3 = p_1^* \alpha^* \mathcal{L}_3$ and $\beta_1^* \mathcal{L}'_4 = \beta_1^* p_1^* \mathcal{L}'_3 = p_1^* \beta^* \mathcal{L}'_3$, we have $\alpha_1^* \mathcal{L}_4 = \beta_1^* \mathcal{L}'_4$. Since p_2^* are equivalences of categories, we have $\alpha_2^* \mathcal{L}_5 = \beta_2^* \mathcal{L}'_5$. Since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_iE'' & \xrightarrow{p_3} & {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}} \\ \uparrow \alpha_2 & & \uparrow \alpha \\ Z'' & \xrightarrow{p_3} & Z_{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}'} \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z'' & \xrightarrow{p_3} & Z_{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}'} \\ \downarrow \beta_2 & & \downarrow \beta \\ {}_iE'' & \xrightarrow{p_3} & {}_iE_{\mathbf{V}'} \end{array}$$

are fiber products, $\alpha^* \mathcal{L} = \alpha^*(p_3)_! \mathcal{L}_5 = (p_3)_! \alpha_2^* \mathcal{L}_5 = (p_3)_! \beta_2^* \mathcal{L}'_5 = \beta^*(p_3)_! \mathcal{L}'_5 = \beta^* \mathcal{L}'$.

Hence we have $\tilde{\omega}_i(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2) = \tilde{\omega}_i \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \tilde{\omega}_i \mathcal{L}_2$ and the map $\tilde{\omega}_i : K({}_i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}}) \rightarrow K({}_i\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbf{V}'})$ is an isomorphism of algebras. □

Proposition 5.3. *For all $i \neq j \in I$ and $-a_{ij} \geq m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{\omega}_i({}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(f(i, j, m))) = {}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(f'(i, j, -a_{ij} - m))$.*

Proposition 5.3 will be proved in Section 5.2.

Theorem 5.4. *It holds that $\tilde{\omega}_i({}_i\mathbf{k}) = {}^i\mathbf{k}$ and we have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_i\mathbf{k} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\omega}_i} & {}^i\mathbf{k} \\ \downarrow {}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow {}^i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}} \\ {}_i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} & \xrightarrow{T_i} & {}^i\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

Proof. Since ${}_i\mathbf{k}$ and ${}^i\mathbf{k}$ are generated by ${}_i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(f(i, j, m))$ and ${}^i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(f'(i, j, m'))$ respectively, Proposition 5.3 implies this theorem. $\square$

5.2. The proof of Proposition 5.3.

5.2.1. Let $\tilde{Q} = (Q, a)$ be a quiver with automorphism, where $Q = (\mathbf{I}, H, s, t)$. Fix $i, j \in I$ such that there are no arrows from $\mathbf{i}$ to $\mathbf{j}$ for any $\mathbf{i} \in i$ and $\mathbf{j} \in j$. Let $N = |\{\mathbf{j} \rightarrow \mathbf{i} \mid \mathbf{j} \in j, \mathbf{i} \in i\}|$ and m be a non-negative integer such that $m \leq N$. Let $\gamma_i = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in i} \mathbf{i}$, $\gamma_j = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in j} \mathbf{j}$ and $\nu^{(m)} = m\gamma_i + \gamma_j \in \mathbf{NI}$. Fix an object $\mathbf{V}^{(m)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}^{(m)} = \nu^{(m)}$.

Denote by $\mathbf{1}_{E_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}})$ the constant sheaf on $E_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}$. Define

$$\mathcal{E}^{(m)} = j_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}!}(v^{-mN} \mathbf{1}_{E_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}}) \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}})$$

For convenience, the complex $j_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}!}(\mathbf{1}_{E_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}}) \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}})$ is also denoted by $\mathbf{1}_{E_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}}$. Note that there exists a canonical isomorphism $\text{id} : a^*(\mathcal{E}^{(m)}) \cong \mathcal{E}^{(m)}$.

For each $m \geq p \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the following variety

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_p^{(m)} &= \{(x, W) \mid x \in E_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}, W = \oplus_{\mathbf{i} \in i} W_{\mathbf{i}} \subset \oplus_{\mathbf{i} \in i} V_{\mathbf{i}}, \\ &\quad a(W) = W, \dim(W_{\mathbf{i}}) = p, \text{Im } \bigoplus_{h \in H, t(h)=\mathbf{i}} x_h \subset W_{\mathbf{i}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\pi_p : \tilde{S}_p^{(m)} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}$ be the projection taking (x, W) to x and $S_p^{(m)} = \text{Im } \pi_p$.

By the definitions of $S_p^{(m)}$, we have

$$E_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}} = S_m^{(m)} \supset S_{m-1}^{(m)} \supset S_{m-2}^{(m)} \supset \cdots \supset S_0^{(m)}.$$

For each $1 \leq p \leq m$, let

$$\mathcal{N}_p^{(m)} = S_p^{(m)} \setminus S_{p-1}^{(m)}.$$

Denote by $i_p^{(m)} : S_{p-1}^{(m)} \rightarrow S_p^{(m)}$ the close embedding and $j_p^{(m)} : \mathcal{N}_p^{(m)} \rightarrow S_p^{(m)}$ the open embedding.

Define

$$I_p^{(m)} = (\pi_p)_!(\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{S}_p^{(m)}})[\dim \tilde{S}_p^{(m)}].$$

Since $a^*(\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{S}_p^{(m)}}) \cong \mathbf{1}_{S_p^{(m)}}$, we have an isomorphism $\phi_0 : a^*(I_p^{(m)}) \cong I_p^{(m)}$.

The following theorem is the main result in this section.

Theorem 5.5. *For $\mathcal{E}^{(m)}$, there exists $s_m \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $s_m \geq p \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\mathcal{E}_p^{(m)} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}^{(m)}})$ such that*

- (1) $\mathcal{E}_{s_m}^{(m)} = \mathcal{E}^{(m)}$ and $\mathcal{E}_0^{(m)}$ is the direct sum of some semisimple perverse sheaves of the form $I_{p'}^{(m)}[l]$;
- (2) for each $p \geq 1$, there exists a distinguished triangle

$$\mathcal{E}_p^{(m)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_p^{(m)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{p-1}^{(m)} \longrightarrow,$$

where $\mathcal{G}_p^{(m)}$ is the direct sum of some semisimple perverse sheaves of the form $I_{p'}^{(m)}[l]$.

The proof of Theorem 5.5 is as same as that of Theorem 5.3 in [19].

Corollary 5.6. *For each $N \geq m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the following formula*

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}([\mathcal{E}^{(m)}, \text{id}]) = \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^p v_i^{-p(1+N-m)} \theta_i^{(p)} \theta_j \theta_i^{(m-p)} = f(i, j; m).$$

Proof. Since $\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}([I_p^{(m)}, \phi_0]) = \theta_i^{(m-p)} \theta_j \theta_i^{(p)}$ for each $m \geq p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the desired result. □

5.2.2. Let m be a non-negative integer such that $m \leq N$ and $m' = N - m$. Let $\nu = m\gamma_i + \gamma_j \in \mathbb{N}\mathbf{I}$ and $\nu' = s_i\nu = m'\gamma_i + \gamma_j \in \mathbb{N}\mathbf{I}$. Fix two objects $\mathbf{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_\nu$ and $\mathbf{V}' \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\nu'}$.

Denote by $\mathbf{1}_{iE_{\mathbf{V}}} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(iE_{\mathbf{V}})$ the constant sheaf on $iE_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $\mathbf{1}_{iE_{\mathbf{V}'}} \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbf{V}'}}(iE_{\mathbf{V}'})$ the constant sheaf on $iE_{\mathbf{V}'}$. Note that there exist canonical isomorphisms $\text{id} : a^*(\mathbf{1}_{iE_{\mathbf{V}}}) \cong \mathbf{1}_{iE_{\mathbf{V}'}}$ and $\text{id} : a^*(\mathbf{1}_{iE_{\mathbf{V}'}}) \cong \mathbf{1}_{iE_{\mathbf{V}}}$.

Proposition 5.7. *For any $N \geq m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{\omega}_i([v_i^{-mN} \mathbf{1}_{iE_{\mathbf{V}}}, \text{id}]) = [v_i^{-m'N} \mathbf{1}_{iE_{\mathbf{V}'}}], \text{id}]$.*

Proof. By the definitions of α and β in the diagram (5),

$$\alpha^*(\mathbf{1}_{iE_{\mathbf{V}}}) = \mathbf{1}_{Z_{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}'}} = \beta^*(\mathbf{1}_{iE_{\mathbf{V}'}}).$$

Hence

$$\tilde{\omega}_i(\mathbf{1}_{iE_{\mathbf{V}}}) = v_i^{(m-m')N} \mathbf{1}_{iE_{\mathbf{V}'}}.$$

That is

$$\tilde{\omega}_i(v_i^{-mN} \mathbf{1}_{iE_{\mathbf{V}}}) = v_i^{-m'N} \mathbf{1}_{iE_{\mathbf{V}'}}.$$

□

Corollary 5.6 implies

$$i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}[v_i^{-mN} \mathbf{1}_{iE_{\mathbf{V}}}, \text{id}] = f(i, j; m).$$

Similarly, we have

$$i\lambda_{\mathcal{A}}[v_i^{-m'N} \mathbf{1}_{iE_{\mathbf{V}'}}], \text{id}] = f'(i, j; m').$$

Hence Proposition 5.7 implies Proposition 5.3.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne. Faisceaux pervers. *Astérisque*, 100, 1982.
- [2] J. Bernstein and V. Lunts. *Equivariant sheaves and functors*. Springer, 1994.
- [3] B. Deng and J. Xiao. Ringel-Hall algebras and Lusztig's symmetries. *J. Algebra*, 255(2):357–372, 2002.
- [4] S. Kato. An algebraic study of extension algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1207.4640*, 2012.
- [5] S. Kato. Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt bases and Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras. *Duke Math. J.*, 163(3):619–663, 2014.
- [6] R. Kiehl and R. Weissauer. *Weil conjectures, perverse sheaves and l'adic Fourier transform*, volume 42. Springer, 2001.
- [7] G. Lusztig. Quantum deformations of certain simple modules over enveloping algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 70(2):237–249, 1988.
- [8] G. Lusztig. Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, pages 447–498, 1990.
- [9] G. Lusztig. Quantum groups at roots of 1. *Geom. Dedicata*, 35(1):89–113, 1990.
- [10] G. Lusztig. Quivers, perverse sheaves, and quantized enveloping algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, pages 365–421, 1991.
- [11] G. Lusztig. Braid group action and canonical bases. *Adv. Math.*, 122(2):237–261, 1996.
- [12] G. Lusztig. Canonical bases and Hall algebras. In *Representation theories and algebraic geometry*, pages 365–399. Springer, 1998.
- [13] G. Lusztig. *Introduction to quantum groups*. Springer, 2010.
- [14] C. M. Ringel. Hall algebras and quantum groups. *Invent. Math.*, 101(1):583–591, 1990.
- [15] C. M. Ringel. PBW-bases of quantum groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 470:51–88, 1996.
- [16] B. Sevenhant and M. Van den Bergh. On the double of the Hall algebra of a quiver. *J. Algebra*, 221(1):135–160, 1999.
- [17] J. Xiao and S. Yang. BGP-reflection functors and Lusztig's symmetries: A Ringel-Hall algebra approach to quantum groups. *J. Algebra*, 241(1):204–246, 2001.
- [18] J. Xiao and M. Zhao. BGP-reflection functors and Lusztig's symmetries of modified quantized enveloping algebras. *Acta Math. Sin.*, 29(10):1833–1856, 2013.
- [19] J. Xiao and M. Zhao. Geometric realizations of lusztig's symmetries. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1501.01778*, 2015.

COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, BEIJING FORESTRY UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100083, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: zhaomh@bjfu.edu.cn